

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

---

IN RE: INTEL CORPORATION MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST LITIGATION	)	MDL Docket No. 05-MD-1717-JJF
--	---	-------------------------------

---

**REPLY MEMORANDUM OF COHEN MILSTEIN HAUSFELD & TOLL, THE FURTH  
FIRM, HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO, AND SAVERI & SAVERI IN SUPPORT  
OF THEIR APPLICATION TO BE APPOINTED INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL**

**INTRODUCTION**

The law firms of Cohen Milstein Hausfeld & Toll P.L.L.C., The Furth Firm L.L.P., Hagens Berman Sobol & Shapiro L.L.P., and Saveri & Saveri, Inc. (the “CFHS firms”) submit this brief reply to National Plaintiffs Group's Response in Opposition to the San Francisco Group's Motion for Appointment as Interim Lead Counsel (Feb. 7, 2006) (“WH Response”; D.I. 32).<sup>1</sup> The CFHS firms address the WH Group's two primary points, which were also made in their memorandum in support of their interim class counsel motion (“WH Mem.”; D.I. 24): (1) that the plaintiffs represented by the CFHS firms and their supporters do not have standing to assert claims under the laws of eight states (WH Mem. at 19-22; WH Response at 4-5); and (2) that four co-lead counsel are too many (WH Mem. at 16-19; WH Response at 5-7).<sup>2</sup> These arguments are without merit. As further discussed below:

---

<sup>1</sup> The CFHS firms refer to the self-styled “National Plaintiffs' Group” as the “WH Group.” This is a neutral term that reflects the fact that the group seeks to have Wolf Haldenstein appointed as sole lead counsel.

The WH Group refers to the CFHS firms as the “San Francisco Group” even though only two of the firms are based in San Francisco, while the other two are based in Seattle, Washington (with offices in other cities) and Washington, D.C. (with offices in other cities). As reflected in the CFHS firms' application, all four firms have been involved in antitrust and other consumer protection class action litigation in federal courts throughout the country. Moreover, the CFHS firms are acting on behalf of over 40 firms, with offices situated across the country, representing over 60 plaintiffs from over 20 states. Thus, the CFHS firms and their constituents, as well as the plaintiffs they represent, are no less national in scope than the firms making up the WH Group.

<sup>2</sup>The CFHS firms do not address the many *ad hominen* attacks of the WH Group, but are certainly prepared to do so if the Court so desires.

- Where the plaintiffs live is irrelevant because the Court will appoint lead *counsel*, not lead *plaintiffs*.
- A four-way leadership structure is at least as efficient as a single lead firm, especially in a case of this magnitude.

### ARGUMENT

#### **I. AT ISSUE ARE THE QUALIFICATIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL, NOT THE NUMBER OR LOCATION OF THEIR CLIENTS.**

The WH Group places great emphasis on who they represent in this litigation. That is a red herring. Whomever is selected to lead this case for the class action plaintiffs will do so for *all* such plaintiffs, including those represented by the WH Group. In other words, if the WH Group's clients solve the asserted standing problem, they do so no less if the CFHS firms are appointed interim class counsel. The Court is selecting lead *counsel*, not lead *plaintiffs*.

In any event, the CFHS firms do not agree that standing requires that there be a plaintiff from each "indirect purchaser" state. For example, a nationwide class of indirect purchasers may be able to sue solely under California law, given that Intel and its chief competitor, Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ("AMD"), are both headquartered in California. *See, e.g., Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, 91 Cal.App.4th 224, 241-45, 110 Cal.Rptr.2d 145, 160-62 (2001), *review denied; Clothesrigger, Inc. v. GTE Corp.*, 191 Cal.App.3d 605, 615-17, 236 Cal.Rptr. 605, 609-11 (1987). If so, such a class would not require representation by plaintiffs from each indirect purchaser state. *See, e.g., In re Warfarin Sodium Antitrust Litig.*, 212 F.R.D. 231, 240 & nn.5 & 6, 246-52 (D. Del. 2002) (Robinson, C.J.), *aff'd*, 391 F.3d 516 (3d Cir. 2004) (nationwide class represented by consumers from four states and third party payors from five states).

Moreover, even in class actions seeking to apply multiple states' laws, courts have not required that there be a representative from each state involved, and there is certainly no reason for plaintiffs to concede that issue. *See, e.g., In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.*, 221 F.R.D. 260, 267-

70 (D. Mass. 2004) (holding that California and Massachusetts residents could represent class members in California, Massachusetts, Vermont and, for some claims, Tennessee); *Mowbray v. Waste Management Holdings, Inc.*, 189 F.R.D. 194, 195, 199, 202 (D. Mass. 1999), *aff'd*, 208 F.3d 288, 299 (1st Cir. 2000) (holding that Illinois plaintiff could represent class members with similar claims arising under the laws of California, Georgia, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Michigan, New York, Texas, Virginia and Wisconsin); *Nichols v. Smithkline Beecham Corp.*, 2005 WL 950616 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 22, 2005) (permitting eight named plaintiffs to represent a class suing under the laws of 41 states and the District of Columbia) (attached as Exhibit A).

The geographic dispersion of the class action plaintiffs is not relevant to the Court's selection of class counsel.

**II. FOUR CO-LEADS ARE NOT TOO MANY, ESPECIALLY GIVEN THE SIZE OF THIS LITIGATION.**

The WH Group's objection to a four-way leadership structure is based on the assumption that such a structure is inherently less efficient than a single lead firm. However, there is no basis for that assumption.

This is a very large antitrust case. Intel's chief counsel was quoted in the *New York Times* as saying that, given the number of documents and witnesses involved, "[w]e believe this will be one of the largest pieces of litigation in U.S. history." *New York Times*, September 2, 2005, Section C, p.2, attached hereto as Exhibit B. Similarly, AMD's recent letter to the Court describes the scope of discovery in this litigation as "daunting." The letter details how millions of pages of documents will be produced and hundreds of depositions likely will be taken to properly prepare this case for trial. Letter to Hon. J. Farnan, Jr. from F. Cottrell, III, Esq., dated Feb. 13, 2006 ("Cottrell Ltr."); D.I. 35) at 1-2. Clearly, many lawyers will need to work on this case for the class action plaintiffs. There is no reason to believe that this case will be more efficiently litigated if these numerous lawyers work for one firm rather than multiple firms.

In any event, the WH Group concedes that these class actions are too big to be handled by a single firm. Their solution is to form an “*ad hoc* law firm” consisting of many plaintiffs’ firms. WH Mem. at 17 n.15; WH Response at 6 n.12. But nowhere does the WH Group explain why multiple firms will work together any more efficiently simply because the WH Group calls them a single *ad hoc* firm.

The WH Group also overlooks the incentive of class counsel to work efficiently. If, as the law of this Circuit provides, *In re Cendant Corp. Prides Litig.*, 243 F.3d 722, 734 (3d Cir. 2001), class counsel are paid as a percentage of the recovery, the fewer hours they take to achieve a particular result, the better off they are. The CFHS firms will work efficiently, not only to move the case ahead aggressively for the benefit of the putative class and to avoid wasting the Court’s and the parties’ time and resources, but also in furtherance of their own self interest.

The WH Group would have the Court believe that most courts choose just one or two co-lead firms. WH Response at 1-2. That is simply not the case. Four or more co-lead counsel often have been appointed in antitrust class actions, including smaller and less complex cases than this *Intel* litigation, and including at least one case in which Wolf Haldenstein is one of four co-lead counsel.<sup>3</sup>

The CFHS firms can and will work together and with other co-counsel efficiently, while amassing sufficient resources to aggressively litigate this large-scale antitrust case.

---

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., *In re Graphite Electrodes Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1023 (E.D. Pa.); *In re Polyester Staple Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1516 (W.D.N.C.); *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1556 (M.D. Pa.); *In re High Pressure Laminates Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1368 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Polypropylene Carpet Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1075 (N.D. Ga.); *In re Cotton Yarn Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1622 (M.D.N.C.); *In re Urethane Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1616 (D. Kan.); *Gulf Coast Fence Co. v. Davis Walker Corp. (Chain Link Fence Antitrust Litig.)*, Civ. No. 87-2443 (D. Md.); *In re Carbon Black Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1543 (D. Mass.); *In re Sulfuric Acid Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1536 (N.D. Ill.) (in which WH is one of four co-leads); *In re Microsoft Corp. Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1332 (D. Md.) (appointing nine-member Lead Counsel Committee); *In re Publication Paper Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1631 (D. Conn.).

## CONCLUSION

Under proper Rule 23(g) analysis, lead counsel should be chosen here based on their experience, knowledge and resources to manage this very large, complex, expensive and cutting-edge antitrust action. In the words of AMD, lead counsel for this litigation must have "demonstrated ability to assemble and lead a network of experienced law firms and to field and deploy collaboratively a very deep bench," as well as "demonstrated antitrust competence in very complex cases." Cottrell Ltr. at 2. The CFHS firms clearly have these qualifications. We therefore respectfully submit that the CFHS firms' application for appointment as interim class counsel should be granted.

COHEN MILSTEIN HAUSFELD &  
TOLL, P.L.L.C.

Michael D. Hausfeld  
Daniel A. Small  
Brent W. Landau  
Allyson B. Baker  
1100 New York Avenue, NW  
Suite 500, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
*Counsel for Plaintiff Phil Paul*

PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James L. Holzman (DE Bar #663)  
David W. Gregory (DE Bar #4408)  
1310 King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Telephone: (302) 888-6500  
[jlholzman@prickett.com](mailto:jlholzman@prickett.com)  
[dwgregory@prickett.com](mailto:dwgregory@prickett.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Phil Paul, Christian Ambruoso, Fairmont Orthopedics & Sports Medicine, P.A., Law Offices of Kwasi Asiedu, HP Consulting Services, Inc., Jerome Feitelberg, Robin S. Weeth, Melinda Harr, D.D.S., P.C., Henry Kornegay, Bergerson & Associates, Phillip Boeding, Stuart Munson, Lee Pines, Jodi Salpeter, Jay Salpeter, Cheryl Glick-Salpeter, Gabriella Horroeder-Perras and Michael H. Roach*

THE FURTH FIRM LLP  
Frederick P. Furth  
Michael P. Lehmann  
Alex C. Turan  
225 Bush Street, 15th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
*Counsel for Jodi Salpeter, Jay Salpeter, Cheryl Glick-Salpeter, Michael H. Roach, Michael Brauch, Andrew Meimes, Lazio Family Products, Law Offices of Laurel Stanley, Benjamin Allanoff*

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL  
SHAPIRO L.L.P.  
Steve W. Berman  
Anthony Shapiro  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
*Counsel for David E. Lipton, Dana F. Thibedeau, Ronald Konieczka, Maria I. Prohias, Steven J. Hamilton, Patricia M. Niehaus, Nathaniel Schwartz*

SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.  
Guido Saveri  
R. Alexander Saveri  
Geoffrey C. Rushing  
Cadio Zirpoli  
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
*Counsel for The Harman Press, Major League Softball, Inc., Shanghai 1930 Restaurant Partners, L.P.*

Dated: February 14, 2006

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, David W. Gregory, hereby certify that on this 14th day of February, 2006, the foregoing Reply Memorandum of Cohen Milstein Hausfeld & Toll, The Furth Firm, Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro and Saveri & Saveri in Support of Their Application to be Appointed Interim Class Counsel was served on counsel for the following parties as indicated below:

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Adam L. Balick  
Balick & Balick  
711 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801-3503  
[abalick@balick.com](mailto:abalick@balick.com)

Frederick L. Cottrell, III  
Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
P.O. Box 551  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
[cottrell@rlf.com](mailto:cottrell@rlf.com)

Steven J. Fineman  
Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
P.O. Box 551  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
[fineman@rlf.com](mailto:fineman@rlf.com)

Chad Michael Shandler  
Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
P.O. Box 551  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
[shandler@rlf.com](mailto:shandler@rlf.com)

James Gordon McMillan, III  
Bouchard Margules & Friedlander  
222 Delaware Avenue  
Suite 1400  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
[jmcmillan@bmf-law.com](mailto:jmcmillan@bmf-law.com)

Richard L. Horwitz  
Potter Anderson & Corroon, LLP  
1313 N. Market St., Hercules Plaza, 6th Flr.  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
[rhorwitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhorwitz@potteranderson.com)

Scott E. Chambers  
Schmittinger & Rodriguez, P.A.  
414 S State St.  
P.O. Box 497  
Dover, DE 19903  
[schambers@schmittrod.com](mailto:schambers@schmittrod.com)

Robert Ray Davis  
Chimicles & Tikellis, LLP  
One Rodney Square  
P.O. Box 1035  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
[robertdavis@chimicles.com](mailto:robertdavis@chimicles.com)

A. Zachary Naylor  
Chimicles & Tikellis, LLP  
One Rodney Square  
P.O. Box 1035  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
[zacharynaylor@chimicles.com](mailto:zacharynaylor@chimicles.com)

Jeffrey S. Goddess  
Rosenthal, Monhait, Gross & Goddess  
919 Market Street, Suite 1401  
P.O. Box 1070  
Wilmington, DE 19899-1070  
[jgoddess@rmgglaw.com](mailto:jgoddess@rmgglaw.com)

Reginald Von Terrell  
The Terrell Law Group  
223 25th Street  
Richmond, CA 94804  
[REGGIET2@aol.com](mailto:REGGIET2@aol.com)

Charles P. Diamond  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
7th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
[CDiamond@omm.com](mailto:CDiamond@omm.com)

Linda J. Smith  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
7th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
[lsmith@omm.com](mailto:lsmith@omm.com)

Garrett D. Blanchfield, Jr.  
Richard Wendorf & Blanchfield  
E-1250 First National Bank Building  
332 Minnesota St.  
St. Paul, MN 55101  
[g.blanchfield@rwblawfirm.com](mailto:g.blanchfield@rwblawfirm.com)

Robert D. Goldberg  
Biggs & Battaglia  
921 North Orange Street  
P.O. Box 1489  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
[goldberg@batlaw.com](mailto:goldberg@batlaw.com)

R. Bruce McNew  
Taylor & McNew LLP  
3711 Kennett Pike, Suite 210  
Greenville, DE 19807  
[mcnew@taylormcnew.com](mailto:mcnew@taylormcnew.com)

Ali Oromchian  
Finkelstein Thompson & Loughran  
601 Montgomery Street  
Suite 665  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[ao@ftllaw.com](mailto:ao@ftllaw.com)

Mark A. Samuels  
O'Melveny & Meyers LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
7th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
[MSamuels@omm.com](mailto:MSamuels@omm.com)

Gordon Ball  
Ball & Scott  
550 Main Avenue  
750 NationsBank Center  
Knoxville, TN 37902  
[gball@ballandscott.com](mailto:gball@ballandscott.com)

Jeffrey F. Keller  
Law Offices of Jeffrey F. Keller  
425 Second St.  
Suite 500  
San Francisco, CA 94107  
[jfkeller@kellergrover.com](mailto:jfkeller@kellergrover.com)

Donald F. Drummond  
Drummond & Associates  
One California St.  
Suite 300  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[ballen@drummondlaw.net](mailto:ballen@drummondlaw.net)

Michele C. Jackson  
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP  
Embarcadero Center West  
275 Battery St.  
30th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[mjackson@lchb.com](mailto:mjackson@lchb.com)

Juden Justice Reed  
Schubert & Reed LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[jreed@schubert-reed.com](mailto:jreed@schubert-reed.com)

David Mark Balabanian  
Bingham McCutchen LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
[david.balabanian@bingham.com](mailto:david.balabanian@bingham.com)

Jef Feibelman  
Burch Porter & Johnson  
130 N. Court Ave.  
Memphis, TN 38103  
[jfeibelman@bpjlaw.com](mailto:jfeibelman@bpjlaw.com)

Joy K. Fuyuno  
Bingham McCutchen LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[joy.fuyuno@bingham.com](mailto:joy.fuyuno@bingham.com)

Darren B. Bernhard  
Howrey LLP  
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
[Bernhardd@howrey.com](mailto:Bernhardd@howrey.com)

Christopher B. Hockett  
Bingham McCutchen LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[chris.hockett@bingham.com](mailto:chris.hockett@bingham.com)

Jerry W. Laughlin  
Rogers Laughlin Nunnally Hood & Crum  
100 South Main St.  
Greeneville, TN 37743  
[jlaughlin2@earthlink.net](mailto:jlaughlin2@earthlink.net)

Maria Nunzio Alioto  
Trump Alioto Trump & Prescott LLP  
2280 Union St.  
San Francisco, CA 94123  
[malioto@tatp.com](mailto:malioto@tatp.com)

Joseph M. Patane  
Law Office of Joseph M. Patane  
2280 Untion St.  
San Francisco, CA 94123  
[jpatane@tatp.com](mailto:jpatane@tatp.com)

Francis O. Scarpulla  
Law Offices of Francis O. Scarpulla  
44 Montgomery St.  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[foslaw@pacbell.net](mailto:foslaw@pacbell.net)

B.J. Wade  
Glassman Edwards Wade & Wyatt P.C.  
26 N. Second St.  
Memphis, TN 38103  
[bwade@gewwlaw.com](mailto:bwade@gewwlaw.com)

Daniel S. Floyd  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, California  
90071-3197  
[dfloyd@gibsondunn.com](mailto:dfloyd@gibsondunn.com)

David Boies, III  
Straus & Boies LLP  
4041 University Drive  
5th Floor  
Fairfax, VA 22030  
[dboies@straus-boies.com](mailto:dboies@straus-boies.com)

Fred T. Isquith  
Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLC  
270 Madison Ave.  
11th Floor  
New York, NY  
[isquith@whafh.com](mailto:isquith@whafh.com)

Robert E. Cooper  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, California  
90071-3197  
[rcooper@gibsondunn.com](mailto:rcooper@gibsondunn.com)

Bruce J. Wecker  
Hosie & McArthur  
1 Market St.  
Spear Street Tower  
Suite 2200  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
[bwecker@hosielaw.com](mailto:bwecker@hosielaw.com)

Donald Chidi Amamgbo  
Amambgo & Associates APC  
1940 Embarcadero Cove  
Oakland, CA 94606  
[DonaldAmamgbo@Citycom.com](mailto:DonaldAmamgbo@Citycom.com)

Nancy Fineman  
Cotchett Pitre Simon & McCarthy  
840 Malcolm Rd.  
Suite 200  
Burlingame, CA 94010  
[nfineman@cpsmlaw.com](mailto:nfineman@cpsmlaw.com)

Steve W. Berman  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
[steve@hbsslaw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslaw.com)

Michael P. Lehmann  
Furth Firm LLP  
225 Bush Street  
15th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[mlehmann@furth.com](mailto:mlehmann@furth.com)

R. Alexander Saveri  
Saveri & Saveri Inc.  
111 Pine Street  
Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[rick@saveri.com](mailto:rick@saveri.com)

Steven A. Asher  
Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC  
1845 Walnut Street  
Suite 1100  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
[asher@wka-law.com](mailto:asher@wka-law.com)

Allyson B. Baker  
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.  
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 500, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
[abaker@cmht.com](mailto:abaker@cmht.com)

Robert S. Kitchenoff  
Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC  
1845 Walnut Street  
Suite 1100  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
[kitchenoff@wka-law.com](mailto:kitchenoff@wka-law.com)

Douglas A. Millen  
Much Shelist Freed Denenberg Ament &  
Rubenstein, PC  
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1800  
Chicago, IL 60606-1615  
[dmillen@muchshelist.com](mailto:dmillen@muchshelist.com)

Daniel A. Small  
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, PLLC  
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 500, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
[dsmall@cmht.com](mailto:dsmall@cmht.com)

Natalie Bennett  
Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller, & Shah  
35 East State Street  
Media, PA 19063  
[nfinkelman@classactioncounsel.com](mailto:nfinkelman@classactioncounsel.com)

James R. Malone, Jr.  
Chimicles & Tikellis LLP  
361 West Lancaster Avenue  
Haverford, PA 19041  
[JamesMalone@Chimicles.com](mailto:JamesMalone@Chimicles.com)

Chad M. Shandler  
Richards Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
920 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
[shandler@rlf.com](mailto:shandler@rlf.com)

Alex C. Turan  
The Furth Firm LLP  
225 Bush Street, 15th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104-4229  
[aturan@furth.com](mailto:aturan@furth.com)

VIA U.S. MAIL FIRST CLASS

Robert J. Kriner, Jr.  
Chimicles & Tikellis, LLP  
One Rodney Square  
P.O. Box 1035  
Wilmington, DE 19899

Daniel B. Allanoff  
Meredith Cohen Greenfogel & Skirnick P.C.  
117 South 17th St.  
22nd Floor  
Architects Building  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Daniel Hume  
Kirby McInerney & Squire L.L.P.  
830 Third Avenue  
10th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

Craig C. Corbitt  
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Douglas G. Thompson, Jr.  
Finkelstein Thompson & Loughran  
1050 30th St., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20007

Harvey W. Gurland  
Duane Morris  
200 S. Biscayne Blvd.  
Suite 3400  
Miami, FL 33131

Richard A. Ripley  
Bingham McCutchen  
1120 20th Street, NW  
Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20036

Scott Ames  
Serratore & Ames  
9595 Wilshire Blvd.  
Suite 201  
Los Angeles, CA 90212

Edward A. Wallace  
The Wexler Firm LLP  
One North LaSalle St.  
Suite 2000  
Chicago, IL 60602

Lance A. Harke  
Harke & Clasby LLP  
155 South Miami Ave.  
Suite 600  
Miami, FL 33130

Randy R. Renick  
128 North Fair Oaks Avenue  
Suite 204  
Pasadena, CA 91103

Donald L. Perelman  
Fine Kaplan & Black RPC  
1835 Market St.  
28th Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Michael L. Kirby  
Kirby Noonan Lance and Hoge  
600 West Broadway  
Suite 1100  
San Diego, CA 92101-3302

Russell M. Aoki  
Aoki Sakamoto Grant LLP  
One Convention Place  
701 Pike St.  
Suite 1525  
Seattle, WA 98101

Clerk Michael J. Beck  
Clerk, MDL Judicial Panel  
One Columbus Circle, N.E.  
Rom G-255, Federal Judiciary Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20002-8004

/s/ David W. Gregory  
David W. Gregory (DE Bar ID 4408)